

STATUS OF RESISTANT GERMPLASM IN EGGPLANT (*Solanum melongena* L.) AND WILD *Solanum* SPECIES

B. SARATH BABU, K. S. VARAPRASAD, S. K. CHAKRABARTY AND N. SIVARAJ, National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, Regional Station, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500 030 (Andhra Pradesh)

Resistant sources reported from *Solanum melongena* L. and wild *Solanum* species, for various pests and diseases have been listed and references cited. Several agricultural universities and research institutes have collected rich diversity of eggplant landraces and other species of non-tuberiferous *Solanum*. The national germplasm collection and conservation responsibility is with the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR) and a total of 2419 accessions have been collected, conserved and evaluated for 44 agro-botanical characters at the Bureau. Scope for further identification and utilization of resistant sources has been discussed.

Key words : Aubergines, brinjal, eggplant, multiple resistance, *Solanum melongena* L., wild *Solanum*

The eggplant, also known as brinjal and aubergine, is a native of India and has been cultivated in this country since ancient times. In India, the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR) is actively involved in building up germplasm through explorations and introductions, its characterization and subsequent identification of suitable donor types for utilization by user agencies in crop improvement programmes. Further, International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, has also given top priority for collection, characterization, evaluation, documentation and conservation from South Asia.

The main objectives in eggplant breeding are to incorporate traits for good quality, resistance to diseases such as little leaf, bacterial wilt, *Fusarium* wilt and *Phomopsis* blight and pests viz., shoot and fruit borer, jassids, aphids and spotted beetles and nematodes. In this article an attempt has been made to review the work done at various research centres and the progress made in resistance breeding in eggplant and to suggest further avenues of its breeding.

PEST AND DISEASE PROBLEMS IN EGGPLANT

In most of the tropical countries including India, eggplant is attacked by a number of insect pests, diseases and nematodes during various stages of its growth. The extent of losses caused by these pests depend on season, variety, soil and other factors (Dhamdhare *et al.*, 1995; Roy and Pande, 1995).

Shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.) infestation is the major constraint to the production of eggplant not only in the Indian sub-continent but also in South Africa, Congo and Malaysia. In India, the states in which very high yield losses have been recorded are Haryana, 63 per cent (Dhankar *et al.*, 1977), Punjab - 61 per cent (Singh and Guram, 1967), Tamil Nadu - 54 per cent (Srinivasan and Gowder, 1959), West Bengal - 50 per cent (Som Chaudhury, 1973) and Maharashtra - 48 per cent (Mote, 1981). Vitamin C in affected plants is reported

to be reduced by 60 per cent (Hami, 1995). The other insect-pests, which cause considerable losses to the eggplant crop due to attack, include the jassid *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida, the aphid *Aphis gossypii* Glover and spotted beetle *Epilachna vigintioctopunctata* Fabr. (Borah, 1995).

Among the diseases, *Phomopsis* blight, a serious disease of eggplant whose infestation as seedling blight, leaf spot and fruit rot are very common. This fungus perpetuates in soil and lives on affected host tissues, and is specific to eggplant. Once the seeds are sown or the seedlings are transplanted in the infested soil, the organism becomes active. Bacterial wilt caused by *Pseudomonas solanacearum* has become a serious problem in India and most of the commercial varieties are susceptible to this disease. Little leaf of eggplant caused by mycoplasma is another serious disease resulting in crop damage ranging from 40 to 80 per cent.

To protect eggplant from depredation of common insect-pests and from attack by different diseases and root-knot nematodes, several workers have suggested various control measures in the past. With the continuous use of chemicals for control, the targeted pests may become resistant to chemicals. Further, the present control measures are not fully effective, more over they are expensive and hazardous. Hence there is need for resistant cultivars.

EGGPLANT GERMPLASM RESISTANT TO PESTS AND DISEASES

Solanum melongena L and its wild relatives are widely distributed in this sub-continent. About 22 species of non-tuberiferous *Solanum* are recorded in India (Bhaduri, 1951). A large number of workers have attempted screening of available germplasm including landraces, local cultivars and wild *Solanum* species to detect the source of

resistance against various pests and diseases. Source of resistance has been identified against major pests in the cultivated species *viz.*, *Solanum melongena*. The major pests against which the resistance source has been identified are bacterial wilt, *Phomopsis* blight, little leaf, brinjal shoot and fruit borer, leafhoppers, spotted beetles and root-knot nematodes (Table 1).

Multiple resistance

Although several workers have reported multiple resistance in the wild species of *Solanum*, there is not enough success in transferring resistance to *Solanum melongena* cultivars due to the incompatibility arising from the genetic make up as they belong to different species. Mukhopadhyay and Mandal (1994) described cultivars Shyama Dhepa, Kalo Dhepa, Improved Muktakeshi, Banaras Long Purple and BB-1, as having multiple resistance against all the important insect pests. Literature search revealed that some more cultivars of *S. melongena* having resistance to more than one pest (Table 2).

Resistance source from wild *Solanum* species

A large number of workers have found that wild *Solanum* species related to eggplant possess genes for resistance to diseases and insects (Table 3). The wild species of *Solanum* have shown high degree of resistance besides immune reactions to several diseases and insects (Kale *et al.*, 1986). But these genes appear to have limited use in resistance breeding because of the difficulties in the interspecific hybridization. Sharma *et al.*, (1980) successfully crossed *S. khasianum* and *S. melongena* by embryo culture, but further studies were impossible due to failure in germination of the F₂ seed. Field resistance to fruit and shoot borer in the progenies derived from interspecific hybridization such as *S. incanum* × *S. melongena* (Baksh and Iqbal, 1979; Rao, 1981), *S. melongena*

× *S. indica* (Rao and Kumar, 1980) and *S. melongena* × *S. integrifolium* (Rao and Baksh, 1981) has been reported. It remains to be seen whether the resistance of wild relatives can be combined with acceptable fruit quality.

Kalada *et al.*, (1976) studied the resistance to *Phomopsis vexans* in 300 entries, including wild *Solanum* species, eggplant cultivars and interspecific F₁ hybrids. *S. xanthocarpum*, *S. indicum*, *S. gilo*, *S. khasianum*, *S. nigrum* and *S. sisymbriifolium* were highly resistant and 2 lines of *S. melongena* were found resistant. The reactions of F₁ hybrids were variable. It was further observed that resistance to *Phomopsis* blight was recessive and governed by polygenes. Dominance gene effects were more pronounced than the additive in most of the crosses (Kalada *et al.*, 1977). Decker (1951) released two cultivars by hybridization namely Florida market and Florida beauty resistant to *Phomopsis* blight. The wild eggplant *S. melongena* var. *insanum* is reported to be resistant to bacterial wilt and is highly compatible with certain cultivars of eggplant (Gopimany and George, 1979).

It was reported that Sel. 96-2, Sel. 419, Polbaigan and the wild species *S. sisymbriifolium* and *S. elaeagnifolium* are highly tolerant to *Meloidogyne* spp under field and lab conditions.

Resistance Mechanism

Unfortunately, not much information is available on the genes involved in the resistance to eggplant pests. Identification of morphological or biochemical factors governing resistance will be helpful in the development of rapid screening technique.

Resistance may be due to antixenosis or non-preference, and appears to have a biochemical basis in the case of insect pest complex, although non-preference for some cultivars has been attributed to histological factors such as compact vascular bundles in a thick layer, with dignified

cells and less area of pith in the shoots (Panda *et al.*, 1971; Chellaiah and Srinivasan, 1983). Similarly, hardness of fruit skin and flesh due to a compact seed arrangement (Srinivasan and Basheer, 1961; Krishnaiah and Vijay, 1975; Mishra *et al.*, 1988), tight calyx to hinder initial larval entry into fruits (Panda *et al.*, 1971; Chellaiah and Srinivasan, 1983; Malik *et al.*, 1986), contribute to the non-preference for cultivars. Singh and Chadha (1991) attributed the resistance in SM-17-4, PBr-129-5 and Punjab SM202×PPI (F₁) were fairly resistant. Medium and long fruited, KT-4, Largew Round White and Long White Cluster were graded as tolerant. According to Pradhan (1966) long narrow fruited eggplant varieties were less infested than spherical fruited. Preference for egg laying was low in resistant varieties than susceptible ones, which may be one of the mechanisms of resistance. Larvae bore more successfully in round fruits than long fruits.

Resistance to jassid reported by earlier workers was due to more density and length of the hair on the leaves (Canden and Balatezar, 1947). Gaikwad *et al.*, (1991) attributed resistance to jassid to leaf and midrib thickness and leaf area in eggplant.

Antibiosis as a basis for resistance in case of shoot and fruit borer was reported by Panda and Das (1975), who found that higher silica and crude fiber content in the shoots of resistant cultivars adversely affected the survival, growth, pupal period, sex ratio and fecundity. The higher content of sugars and amino acids acted as feeding stimulants in susceptible cultivars. Similarly, Ishaque and Chaudhuri (1984) observed a low protein and sugar contents in resistant genotypes. Panda and Das (1975) suggested that resistance in Thorn Pandy and Black Pandy was due to combined effect of antixenosis and antibiosis. As a result of high silica and crude fiber in the shoots, the larvae found difficulty in feeding. The insect also disliked feeding on them due to their

Table 1. Sources of resistant germplasm from eggplant cultivars against various pests and diseases

Pest/Disease	Source of resistance	Reference
Bacterial wilt (<i>Pseudomonas solanacearum</i>)	WCGR 112-8 (resistant)	Gopinath & Madalageri (1986)
	Dingra's Multiple Purple, Sinampiro, Pusa Purple Cluster (resistant)	Rao <i>et al.</i> , (1976)
	Pusa Purple Cluster (PPC), (resistant)	Mukherjee & Mukhopadhyay (1982)
	BB-11, BB-7 (resistant)	Sharma & Kumar (1995)
	BWR-34, PPC (resistant)	Bora <i>et al.</i> (1993)
	BWR-54, PPC (immune)	Baleswar Singh (1991)
<i>Phomopsis</i> blight (<i>Phomopsis vexans</i>)	11a, 264 & 238 (highly resistant)	Kalada <i>et al.</i> (1976)
Little leaf (Mycoplasma)	PPC (resistant)	Kale <i>et al.</i> (1986)
	Nurki, Bowad Local No.4, Chikalgaon Loal No.1, PPC (resistant)	Chakrabarty & Chaudhury (1974)
	Hisar Shyamal & H-10 (tolerant)	Kaloo (1994)
	Sel.212-1, Sel.252-2-1 (resistant)	Chakrabarty & Chaudhury (1975)
	SM-78 × SM-79, SM-78 × S-745 SM-77 × SM-60, SM-75 × SM-74 SM-75 × SM-60 (F ₂ generations of these crosses found resistant)	Ponnuswami & Irulappan (1993)
Root-knot Nematodes		
<i>M. incognita</i> race 1 & 2	Gulla (resistant)	Ravichandra <i>et al.</i> (1990)
All root-knots	Black Beauty, T-2 (UP), Vijaya, Banaras Giant (resistant)	Nandwana <i>et al.</i> (1980)
Shoot & Fruit borer (<i>Leucinodes arbonalis</i> Guen.)	Banaras Giant, S-34, Arka Kusumakar, SM-215, S-258, SM-62, P-5-8, SM-2, S-2070	Pawar <i>et al.</i> (1986)
	Malkapuri, Shirur Khandala-1, Khanpur, rajangona, songona Kagal (moderately resistant)	
	Tambalwadi Local, Gopuri, Pusa Purple Round, Jalagaon Local, Hingana Dorla, Baramati, Punjab Bahar, Junagadh Bhattu (highly resistant to shoot infestations cvs), Nurki, PPC, Gulabi Dorla (highly resistant to fruit infestation)	
	Arka Kusumakar, Nischintapur, Brinjal Long Green, Attapati, Arka Shirish, Manipur, Makra and Chikon Long (relatively resistant)	Gangopadhyay <i>et al.</i> (1996)
	Pusa Purple Long, H-128, H-129	Gill & Chadha (1979)
	Aushey (tolerant)	Dhankar <i>et al.</i> (1977)
	Thorn Pandy, Black Pandy H-165, H-407 (tolerant)	Panda <i>et al.</i> (1971)
	Ex Beckwai, IHR-191 (tolerant)	Krishnaiah & Vijay (1975)
	SM-202, S-519, S-520, S-521, Solan-11, PPC-2 (tolerant)	Lal <i>et al.</i> (1976)
S-4, H-4, Punjab chamkila, PPC (tolerant)	Gill & Chadha (1979)	

Table 1. Contd.

Pest/Disease	Source of resistance	Reference
	SM-17-4, PBR-129-5, Punjab Barsati (tolerant)	Singh & Chadha (1991)
	Nischchintapur Local, Mukтажhuri, Shymala Dhepa, Navkiran, Banaras Long Purple, BB-1, Murshidabad Local (tolerant)	Mukhopadhyay & Mandal (1994)
	Long Purple (tolerant)	Meheto & Lal (1981)
	Nimbkar Green, Arka Kusumakar, SM-213 (tolerant)	Mote (1981)
	Ringen Giant, PPC, SM-62 (tolerant)	Nathani (1983)
	Kuchia	Ishaq & Chaudhuri (1984)
	Singnath	Kabir <i>et al.</i> (1984)
	IC-089864A and IC-144524	Sarath Babu <i>et al.</i> (1997)
Leaf hoppers (<i>Amrasca biguttula biguttula</i> Ishida)	H- 4, Round Green, Dorly, Aushey, Jumblimulayam (resistant)	Mote (1978)
	Junagarh Sel.1, Aushey, R-34, H-4, T-3 (resistant)	Bindra & Mahal (1981)
	S-188-2, S-258, S-34, PPC, Manjri Gota, Dorli, Mukti Borgona-1, Local Khandesh, Khedshivpur (moderately resistant)	Pawar <i>et al.</i> (1986)
	Pusa Kranti (tolerant)	Mote, (1982)
Aphids (<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover)	Sufal, Navkiran, ARM- 3, Krishna, Brinjal Long Green, Suttons Long (tolerant), KB- 9, PPR, PPL, Banaras Giant, L-13, KB-5, Navkiran, BB-1, KB-10, Improved Muktakheshi (tolerant)	Mukhopadhyay & Mandal (1994)
Spotted beetles (<i>Epilachna</i> spp.)	Arka Shirish, Hisar Sel. 1-4 Shankar Vijay (resistant cvs)	
	Shyamala Bhangar	Mukhopadhyay & Mandal (1994)

low sugar and amino acid content. Due to the adverse affect on the pest life cycle, infestation of these genotypes started late and remained at a low level. Bajaj *et al.*, (1989) reported that the variety SM-17-4 which is relatively field resistant to shoot and fruit borer had higher glycoalkaloid content, peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase activity than the susceptible Punjab Chamkila. It is suggested that glycoalkaloids in association with phenolic compounds may be conferring resistance to eggplant against the pest. Similar results were obtained by Darekar *et al.* (1991). Gaikwad *et*

al. (1991) reported that total level of sugars, free amino acids and polyphenols in the leaves of eggplant were negatively correlated to jassid infestation.

It has been observed that resistance to *Phomopsis* blight was recessive and genetically inherited. Dominance gene effects are more pronounced than additive in most of the crosses studied. Also they indicated that the resistance to bacterial wilt was controlled by single dominant gene. Chadha (1988) reported that *Solanum torvum* was resistant to root knot nematodes. It was used

as a rootstock for tongue grafting in a number of chosen cultivars of eggplant. The grafted hybrids behave as perennials and yield 3 to 4 times more.

Table 2. Available cultivars with multiple resistance

Cultivars	Resistance Available Against
Pusa Purple Cluster	Bacterial wilt, Little leaf and Shoot and fruit borer
Pusa Kranti	Leaf hopper and Bacterial wilt
Sm-17-4	Shoot and fruit borer and Little leaf
Pusa Purple Long, S-34, S-258, Aushey and H-4	Leaf hopper and Shoot and fruit borer
Shyama Dhepa, Kalo Dhepa, Improved Mukthakheshi, Banaras Long Purple and BB-1	Shoot and fruit borer, Leaf hopper and Aphids
Vijaya and Black Beauty	All species of <i>Meloidogyne</i>
Banaras Giant	Shoot and fruit borer and all species of <i>Meloidogyne</i>

SCOPE FOR FURTHER UTILIZATION OF RESISTANCE SOURCES

During the last three decades, work on eggplant collection from within the country and introduction from abroad has been in progress at the NBPGR, New Delhi. Extensive collections have been made under NBPGR/IPGRI collaborative project from all parts of India and parts of neighbouring countries like Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and Nepal. As a result about 2531 germplasm accessions of *S. melongena* and about 337 accessions of related wild species have been assembled and these germplasm have been characterized in phased manner at NBPGR. Information on 1188 accessions characterized for 52 agro-botanic descriptors has been documented in the form of catalogue at NBPGR.

Table 3. Source of resistant germplasm from wild eggplant relatives

Pest	Sources of resistance	Reference
Bacterial wilt	<i>Solanum melongena</i> var. <i>insanum</i> (resistant & highly compatible with certain cvs)	Gopimany & George (1979)
Phomopsis blight	<i>S.xanthocarpum</i> , <i>S.j. S.gilo</i> , <i>S.khasianum</i> , <i>S.nigrum</i> <i>S.torvum</i> (resistant)	Kalada <i>et al.</i> , (1976)
Little leaf	<i>S.viarum</i> (immune), <i>S.indicum</i> & <i>S.sisymbriifolium</i> (resistant)	Chakrabarty & Choudhury (1974)
	<i>S.integrifolium</i> , <i>S.gilo</i> (resistant)	Chakrabarty & Chaudhury (1975)
	<i>S.viarum</i> (immune)	Kale <i>et al.</i> , (1986)
<i>Meloidogyne javanica</i>	<i>S.torvum</i> , <i>S.macrocarpum</i> , <i>S.sisymbriifolium</i> , <i>S.warscewiczii</i> (resistant)	
<i>M.incognita</i>	<i>S.khasianum</i> , <i>S.torvum</i> , <i>S.toxicarium</i> (immune)	Ali <i>et al.</i> , (1992)
	<i>S.torvum</i> , <i>S.seaforthianum</i> (resistant)	Shetty & Reddy (1985)
	<i>S.torvum</i> , <i>S.macrocarpum</i> , <i>S.sisymbriifolium</i> , <i>S.warscewiczii</i> (resistant)	Vito <i>et al.</i> , (1992)
<i>M.arenaria</i>	<i>S.sisymbriifolium</i> , <i>S.torvum</i> (resistant)	Vito <i>et al.</i> , (1992)
All root-knots	<i>S.torvum</i> (resistant)	Chadha (1988)
	<i>S.sisymbriifolium</i> , <i>S.elaegnifolium</i> (resistant)	Verma & Chaudhury (1974)
Shoot & Fruit borer	<i>S.incanum</i> , <i>S.xanthocarpum</i> , <i>S.khasianum</i> . <i>S.sisymbriifolium</i> (immune)	Kale <i>et al.</i> , (1986)

<i>S.symbriifolium</i> , <i>S.khasianum</i> <i>S.xanthocarpum</i> , <i>S.nigrum</i> (resistant)	Lal <i>et al.</i> , (1976)
<i>S. incanum</i> , <i>S.khasianum</i> , <i>S.macranthum</i> , <i>S.mammosum</i> (resistant)	Baksh & Iqbal (1979)
<i>S.macrocarpon</i> (resistant)	Gangopadhyay <i>et al.</i> , (1996)
<i>S.surattense</i> , <i>S.sisymbriifolium</i> , <i>S.indicum</i> , <i>S.viarum</i> . <i>S.aculeatissim</i> <i>um</i> (resistant)	Sarath Babu <i>et al.</i> , (1997)

Table 4. Potential eggplant morphological character and the number of corresponding germplasm available at NBPGR for use in the resistance breeding

Character	Number of Accessions available
Stem spininess	14
Petiole spininess	5
Leaf spininess	80
Long fruited (more than 35 cm long)	14
Fruit calyx prickles (many)	2
Fruit pedicle prickles	3
Very many seeds/fruit (tightly arranged)	8
Number of fruits/cluster (more than 4)	14
Number of clusters/plant	18

Review of resistance indicates that information in sources and mechanism of resistance is available only for insects pests and that too for shoot and fruit borer and leafhoppers. A number of morphological characters have been identified that have a direct or indirect bearing on pest resistance in eggplant Table 4 lists these characters and their pest resistance. The number of accessions in the NBPGR germplasm collection having other features are also indicated in the table.

REFERENCES

- Ali, M., N. Matsuzae, H. Okub and K. Fujida. 1992. Resistance of non-tuberous *Solanum* to root-knot nematode. *J. Japanese Soc. Hort. Sci* 60(4) : 921-926.
- Bajaj, K.L., D. Singh and G. Kaur. 1989. Biochemical basis of relative field resistance of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) to the shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.) *Veg. Sci.* 16: 145-149.
- Baksh, S. and H. Iqbal. 1979. Crossability relationship in some non-tuberous of *Solanum* *J. Hort. Sci* 54(2): 163.
- Baleswar Singh. 1991. Field reaction of eggplant germplasm to bacterial wilt in Nagaland. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 61(9): 694-695.
- Bhaduri, P.N. 1951. Inter-relationships of non-tuberiferous species of *Solanum* with some consideration on the origin of Brinjal (*S. melongena* L.). *Indian J. Genet.* 2: 75-86.
- Bindra, O.S. and M.S. Mahal. 1981. Varietal resistance in eggplant (brinjal) (*Solanum melongena* L.) to the cotton jassid (*Amrasca biguttula* Ishida). *Phytoparasitica* 9(2): 119-131.
- Bora, L.C., S.K. Bhattacharya, G.C. Boara and B.K. Saikia. 1993. Screening for resistance against bacterial brinjal in Assam. *J. Agri. Sci. Soc North East India* 6: 83-84.
- Borah, R.K. 1995. Insect pest complex in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Annals Agric. Res.* 16(1): 93-94.
- Canden, S.M. and C.R. Balatezar. 1947. A biological study of *E. flavescens*, *Philippine Agric.* 30: 1-22.
- Chadha, M.L. 1988. Graft brinjal onto the root stock of *Solanum torvum* to save it from root-knot nematodes. *Indian Hort.* 32(2): 31-32.
- Chakrabarty, A.K. and B. Chaudhury. 1974. Effect of little leaf disease on metabolic changes in susceptible cultivar and resistant allied species of brinjal. *Veg. Sci.* 1: 12-17.
- Chakrabarty, A.K. and B. Chaudhury. 1975. Breeding brinjal resistant to little leaf disease. *Proc. Indian. Nat. Sci. Acad.* B-41(4): 379-395.
- Chellaiah, S. and K. Srinivasan. 1983. Resistance in *bhindi*, brinjal and tomato to major insect and mite pests. *In: National Seminar on Breeding Crop Plants for Resistance to pests and diseases.* May 25-27, 1983.
- Darekar, K.S., B.P. Gaikwad and U.D. Chavan. 1991. Screening of eggplant cultivars for resistance to shoot and fruit borer. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 16(3): 366-369.
- Das, P, P.N. Phukhan and D.K. Sarmah. 1989. Screening of some vegetable varieties against root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* *J. Res.* 9(1-2): 56-57.

- Decker. 1951. *Phomopsis* blight resistant eggplants. *Phytopathology* 41: 9.
- Dhamdhare, S., S.V. Dhamdhare and R. Mathur. 1995. Occurrence and succession of pests of brinjal, *Solanum melongena* L. at Gwalior (M.P), India *J. ent. Res.* 19(1): 71-77.
- Dhankar, B.S., V.P. Gupta and K. Singh. 1977. Screening and variability studies for relative susceptibility to shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* G.) in brinjal to jassid (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida) under field condition. *Veg. Sci.* 5(2): 107-110.
- Dhankar, B.S., V.P. Gupta and K. Singh. 1977. Screening and variability studies for relative susceptibility to shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* G.) in normal and ratoon crop of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 6(1-2): 50-58.
- Gaikwad, B.P., K.S. Darekar and V.D. Chavan. 1991. Varietal reaction of eggplant against jassid. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 16(3): 354-356.
- Gangopadhyay, C., T.K. Maity and S.K. Mandal. 1996. Screening of brinjal germplasm against fruit and shoot borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *Environment Ecology* 14(4): 834-836.
- Gill, C.K. and M.L. Chadha. 1979. Resistance in brinjal to shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera). *Indian J. Hort.* 36(1): 67-71.
- Gopimany, R. and M.K. George. 1979. Screening of brinjal varieties for wilt resistance. *Agric. Res. J. Kerala.* 17: 7-10.
- Gopinath, G. and B.B. Madalgeri. 1986. Genetics of yield and its components in brinjal. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 15: 103-109.
- Hami, M.A. 1995. Effect of borer attack on the vitamin C content of brinjals. *Pakistan J. Health.* 4(4): 223-224.
- Ishaq, N.M.S.M. and R.P. Chaudhury. 1984. Comparative susceptibility of some varieties of eggplant to shoot and fruit borer in Assam. *Indian J. agric. Sci.* 54(9): 751-756.
- Kabir, M.M., M.D. Mia, I.I. Azim, R.A. Begum and A. Ahmed. 1984. Field screening of twelve brinjal varieties against shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. (Lepidoptera : Pyralidae). *Bangladesh J. Zoology.* 12(1): 47-48.
- Kalada, T.S., V. Swarup and B. Chaudhury. 1976. Resistance to *Phomopsis* blight in eggplant. *Veg. Sci.* 3: 65-70.
- Kalada, T.S., Vishnu Swarup and B. Chaudhury. 1977. Resistance to *Phomopsis* blight in eggplant. *Veg. Sci.* 4: 90-101.
- Kale, P.B., S.W. Mankar, P.D. Wagikar and V.S. Gonge. 1986. Chemical composition of little leaf disease affected leaves of different genotypes of brinjal. *Veg. Sci.* 13: 199-204.
- Kaloo, G. 1994. Vegetable Breeding. Combined edn. Vol. 1, 2 & 3. Panima Educational Book Agency, Delhi. p-174.
- Krishnaiah, K. and Vijay. 1975. Evaluation of brinjal varieties for resistance to shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *Indian J. Hort.* 32: 84-86.
- Lal, O.P., R.K. Sharma, T.S. Verma, P.M. Bhagchandani and J. Chandra. 1976. Resistance in brinjal to shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera). *Veg. Sci.* 3: 111-116.
- Malik, A.S., B.S. Dhankar and N.K. Sharma. 1986. Variability and correlations among certain characters in relation to shoot and fruit borer infestation in brinjal. *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.* 16(3): 239-265.
- Mehto, D.N. and B.S. Lal. 1981. Chemical control of brinjal shoot and fruit borer. *Indian J. Entomol.* 43: 105-106.
- Mishra, P.N., Y.V. Singh, M.C. Nautiyal. 1988. Screening of brinjal varieties for resistance to shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *South Indian Hort.* 36(4): 188-182.
- Mote, U.N. 1978. Studies on the varietal resistance of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) to jassid under field conditions. *Veg. Sci.* 5: 107-110.
- Mote, U.N. 1981. Varietal resistance in eggplant to *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. 1. Screening under field conditions. *Indian J. Entomol.* 43: 112-113.
- Mote, U.N. 1982. Varietal susceptibility to brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) to jassid (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida). *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 7(1): 59-60.
- Mukherjee, R. and S. Mukhopadhyay. 1982. Effect of root exudates of brinjal on *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Veg. Sci.* 9: 122-125.
- Mukhopadhyay, A. and A. Mandal. 1994. Screening of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) for resistance to major insect pests. *Indian J. agric. Sci.* 64(11): 798-803.
- Nandwana, R.P., B.S. Yadav, M.K. Verma and A. Lal. 1980. Susceptibility of certain brinjal varieties to the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Indian J. Mycol. Pl. Path.* 10: 181-182.
- Nathani, R.K. 1983. Studies on varietal susceptibility and chemical control of brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.) *Thesis Abstracts* 9: 51.
- Panda, N.A and R.C. Das. 1975. Antibiosis factor of resistance in brinjal varieties to shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.). *J. South Indian Hort.* 23: 43-48.
- Panda, N.A., A. Mahapatra and M. Sahoo. 1971. Field evaluation of some brinjal varieties for resistance to shoot

- and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.). *Indian J. agric. Sci.* 41: 597-601.
- Pawar, D.B., U.N. Mote and P.N. Kale. 1986. Relative susceptibility of varieties and local collections of brinjal to jassid and fruit borer. *Veg. Sci.* 13: 397-402.
- Ponnuswami, V. and I. Irulappan. 1993. Genetic assessment of resistance in eggplant intervarietal crosses to little leaf diseases. *South Indian Hort.* 41(3): 179-181.
- Pradhan, S. 1966. *Insect Pests of Crops*. National Book Trust. 208 p.
- Rao, G.R. 1981. Results of interspecific cross pollinations between *S. melongena* and *S. incanum* L. in eggplant breeding. *Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad.* B.47, 893-898.
- Rao, G.R. and S. Baksh. 1981. Relationship between *Solanum melongena* L. and *Solanum integrifolium* Poir. *Indian J. Genet.* 41: 46-53.
- Rao, G.R. and A. Kumar. 1980. Some observations on inter-specific hybrids of *Solanum melongena* L. *Proc. Indian acad. Sci.* 89: 47-51.
- Rao, M.V.B., H.S. Sohi and O.P. Vijay. 1976. Reaction of some varieties of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) to *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Veg. Sci.* 3: 61-64.
- Ravichandra, N.G., K. Krishnappa, K.G.H. Setly. 1990. Interaction of *Meloidogyne javanica* and race-1, race-2 and race-3 of *M. incognita* with *Pseudomonas solanacearum* on a few brinjal cultivars/lines *Indian J. Nematol.* 20(2): 138-147.
- Roy, D.C. and Y.D. Pande. 1995. Damage to brinjal by Lep. pyraustidae and economics of its insecticidal control. *Indian J. agric. Res.* 28(2): 110-120.
- Russel, G.E. 1978. *Plant Breeding for pest and disease resistance*. Butterwoeths, London.
- Sarath Babu, B., S.R. Pandravada, V. Kamala an N. Sivaraj. 1997. Reaction of some South Indian *Solanum* germplasm collections to shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *J. ent. Res.* 21(1): 35-38.
- Sharma, D.R., J.B. Chaudhury, U. Ahuja and B.S. Dhankar. 1980. Inter-specific hybridization in Genus *Solanum*. A cross between *S. melongena* and *S. khasianum* through embryo culture. *Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenenziichtung.* 85: 248-253.
- Sharma, J.P. and S. Kumar. 1995. Evaluation of pest resistance in brinjal to *Pseudomonas* wilt in Chhotanagpur. *Indian Phytopathology* 48(1): 81-83.
- Shetty, K.D. and D.D.R. Reddy. 1985. Resistance in *Solanum* species to root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Indian J. Nematol.* 15: 230.
- Singh, D. and M.L. Chadha. 1991. Effect of morphological characters on brinjal on incidence of *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ.* 28(3): 345-353.
- Singh, S. and M.S. Guram. 1967. Trials for the control of brinjal fruit and shoot borer. *Pl. Prot. Bulletin.* 3: 13-17.
- Som Chaudhury, A.K. 1973. Comparative studies on the effectiveness of two modern insecticides in the control of brinjal shoot and fruit borer. *Pesticides* 7(8): 45-46.
- Srinivasan, P.M. and M. Basheer. 1961. Some borer resistant brinjals. *Indian Fmg* 11(8): 19.
- Srinivasan, P.M. and R.D. Gowder. 1959. A note on the control of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *Indian J. agric. Sci.* 29: 71-73.